27178 **Vancouver Registry**

In the Supreme Court of British Columbia (BEFORE THE HONOURABLE MADAM JUSTICE DUNCAN)

Vancouver, B.C. March 29, 2017

REGINA

٧.

PATRICK HENRY FOX

PROCEEDINGS IN CHAMBERS (Pretrial Conference)

COPY

27178 **Vancouver Registry**

In the Supreme Court of British Columbia

(BEFORE THE HONOURABLE MADAM JUSTICE DUNCAN)

Vancouver, B.C. March 29, 2017

REGINA

٧.

PATRICK HENRY FOX

PROCEEDINGS IN CHAMBERS (Pretrial Conference)

COPY

BAN ON PUBLICATION 486.5(1) CCC

Crown Counsel: M. Myhre

Appearing on his own behalf: P. Fox

```
1
                                Vancouver, B.C.
2
                                March 29, 2017
3
4
    MR. MYHRE: Good morning, My Lady, Mark Myhre for the
5
         Crown.
6
    THE COURT: Mr. Myhre.
    THE CLERK: Calling Her Majesty the Queen and Fox.
7
8
    THE COURT: Mr. Myhre, is there a copy of the
9
         indictment that the Crown is proceeding on?
10
         received something from scheduling this morning
11
         but I understood that it was perhaps a work in
12
         progress.
13
    MR. MYHRE: The Crown is proceeding on the dash 2
14
         indictment.
    THE COURT: Thank you.
15
16
    MR. MYHRE: So as long as that's in the file.
17
    THE COURT: Good morning. Are you Mr. Fox?
    THE ACCUSED: Yes, I am.
18
19
    THE COURT: You can have a seat, Mr. Fox. This morning
20
         -- I'm not sure what your understanding is of the
21
         process, but this is a pretrial conference.
22
         You've got a jury trial coming up in a couple of
23
         months and it's customary in Supreme Court to hold
24
         pretrial conferences to hopefully iron out some
25
         procedural issues before the matter goes to trial.
26
              So I can advise that I reviewed the Crown
27
         synopsis which gives a general overview of the
28
         case. And I think before I ask Mr. Myhre any
29
         questions, Mr. Fox, what I'm going to ask you is,
30
         have you done a jury trial by yourself before?
31
    THE ACCUSED: Not representing myself.
32
    THE COURT: Right.
33
    THE ACCUSED: That case was dismissed in court.
34
    THE COURT: All right. So you have not been involved
35
         either with or without counsel on a jury trial, is
36
         that fair to say?
37
    THE ACCUSED: I have been involved in a jury trial with
38
         counsel.
39
    THE COURT: All right. So my next question is, do you
40
         have any intention to have counsel assist you with
41
         this matter?
    THE ACCUSED: No, I do not.
42
43
    THE COURT: All right, so you're going to act for
44
         yourself. So what I'm going to start off with
         then is I am going to hand out to you and Mr.
45
46
         Myhre and also mark for the court file, a very,
47
         very general overview of the trial process,
```

```
1
         because judge alone trials are one thing, but
2
         judge and jury trials are quite another, and they
3
         are procedurally quite complex, especially things
4
         like jury selection.
5
              So I am going to hand you out what looks to
6
         be a rather long and daunting document but in it
         you will see sections devoted to jury selection as
7
8
         well as the trial process itself. And I'm not
9
         going to expect you to read it while I'm talking,
10
         it's something you will have to take away and look
11
         at prior to your next court appearance.
12
              So I've got a copy for the court file, it
13
         could just be dated stamped, Madam Registrar,
14
         that's sufficient, it's not an exhibit. I have a
15
         copy for Mr. Myhre and I've got a copy for Mr.
16
         Fox. And Deputy Sheriff, the staple in it is
17
         protruding so it may well be --
18
    MR. MYHRE:
                Thank you.
19
    THE COURT: -- best to remove it so no one cuts
20
         themselves. And perhaps we can arrange for a clip
21
         or something before Mr. Fox goes back into
22
         custody.
23
    THE ACCUSED: May I interrupt for one moment?
    THE COURT: You may.
24
25
    THE ACCUSED: May I borrow a pen from someone, please?
26
         I'm not permitted to bring one from the jail.
27
    THE COURT: No, and the deputies will furnish you with
28
         one from court. Very good, all right.
29
              So and I've asked that it be given both to
30
         the Crown and put on the court file just to make
31
         sure everyone is on the same page at the trial,
32
         what information you've been given in advance of
33
         the trial.
34
              All right. So currently we've got jury
35
         selection set for May 30th and I guess my question
         for Mr. Myhre is -- we'll get to the pretrial
36
37
         applications in a moment, but my question, Mr.
38
         Myhre, is since there's a gap of about three weeks
39
         between jury selection trial, is it the Crown's
40
         view that 14 jurors should be chosen?
41
    MR. MYHRE: That sounds like a prudent idea, My Lady.
42
    THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Fox, usually when a
43
         jury is chosen Crown and defence look for 12
44
         people. Sometimes when there's a gap between when
45
         the jury is picked and when the trial starts they
46
         pick an extra two just in case people become ill
47
         or unavailable between when they are picked and
```

```
when the trial starts. So what I've suggested,
1
         and Mr. Myhre agrees, is that when you go to jury
3
         selection you be prepared to choose 14 jurors.
4
         The last two chosen will be sent home on the day
5
         of trial if the original 12 are still available.
6
         So that's just a procedural thing. That's covered
7
         in the document I gave you about jury selection.
8
    THE ACCUSED: Yes.
9
    THE COURT: So we are not going to go any further on
10
         jury selection at this point. But I do encourage
11
         you to study the ins and outs of jury selection.
12
    THE ACCUSED: I will.
13
    THE COURT: With a view to choosing 14 rather than the
14
         usual 12.
15
              All right, so Mr. Myhre, I understand that
16
         there's a number of applications set for April
17
         18th, is that correct?
18
    MR. MYHRE: Yes, although that's going to have to
19
         change, I understand, My Lady.
    THE COURT: All right. So maybe you could outline to
20
21
         me, and as I say, I've read the synopsis and I
22
         understand the Crown's applications are for a
23
         variety of measures concerning what I'll call the
24
         main Crown witness in terms of a support person
25
         and a lawyer to cross-examine that person; is that
26
         correct?
27
    MR. MYHRE:
               That is correct.
28
    THE COURT: All right. So that would have taken place
         on April 18th but that's now not going to happen?
29
30
    MR. MYHRE: Yes, Mr. Fox informed me via letter in
31
         February or maybe -- he sent me three letters
32
         since our last appearance here in court. In one
33
         of those letters he pointed out that the 18th is
34
         the last day of Shevat and he cannot attend on
35
         that day.
36
    THE COURT: All right, so --
37
                So that will have to be rescheduled.
    MR. MYHRE:
38
    THE COURT: All right. So have you had an opportunity,
39
         Mr. Myhre, to look at subsequent dates?
40
    MR. MYHRE: I have not canvassed subsequent dates. I
41
         wanted to canvass what applications need to be
42
         made so that we can determine the right time
43
         estimate today.
44
    THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Fox, we will regard
45
         April 18th as a day that's not available for the
46
         Crown's applications because of Shevat.
47
    THE ACCUSED: Just one minor correction.
```

```
THE COURT: Yes?
1
2
    THE ACCUSED: It's actually the last day of Passover.
3
    THE COURT: It's Passover?
4
    MR. MYHRE:
                Passover, sorry.
5
    THE COURT:
                It's a bit of a difference but it remains
         the same, so it's the last day of Passover.
6
7
         you.
8
              So, Mr. Myhre, can you outline for me the
9
         applications the Crown does intend to make prior
10
         to trial?
11
    MR. MYHRE: Yes, so there are -- there's an application
12
         to have counsel appointed to cross-examine Ms.
13
         Capuano. And my understanding from -- I should
14
         say last day we were in this court Mr. Fox and I
15
         had fairly extensive discussions, facilitated by
16
         Judge Silverman, and so some conclusions were
17
         reached at the end of that. I understand that Mr.
18
         Fox is not opposed to that application and in fact
19
         if he continues in that position I would ask Your
20
         Ladyship to make that order today so that I can
21
         get the ball rolling with counsel.
22
              The next application the Crown intends to
23
         make is to have Ms. Capuano, who will be here in
24
         person, testify from behind a screen. I'm not
25
         sure if Mr. Fox is opposed to that application.
26
         The Crown will also be applying to have a support
27
         worker sit next to her. She is -- the support
28
         worker proposed by the Crown is a victim service
29
         worker with the Burnaby RCMP. And so I'm not sure
30
         -- I know Mr. Fox was opposed to Ms. Capuano
31
         testifying via video but I'm not sure if he's
32
         opposed to the screen or the support person.
33
    THE COURT: All right. So first of all, Mr. Fox, in
34
         matters of this nature the Crown can apply under
35
         the Criminal Code to have a lawyer appointed for
36
         the limited purpose of cross-examining what I'll
37
         loosely call a vulnerable witness. I think you
38
         have an understanding of that, do you?
39
    THE ACCUSED: Yes, the four issues.
40
    THE COURT: Yes, thank you. So what is your position
41
         concerning the Crown's application that he has
42
         articulated, and I think you've heard of four, to
43
         have a lawyer appointed to cross-examine the
44
         complainant in this case?
45
    THE ACCUSED: First, is it appropriate that I stand
46
         when I address the court, or --
47
    THE COURT: Usually, but if you've got -- if you're
```

47

Proceedings

BAN ON PUBLICATION 486.5(1) CCC

MR. MYHRE: -- support person.

```
1
         trying to make notes and talk at the same time I'm
         not going to insist that you stand up.
3
    THE ACCUSED: Right. With respect to the 486.3, I
4
         oppose that.
5
    THE COURT: Okay.
6
    THE ACCUSED: And I don't think that is something
7
         that is a decision to be made on today at this
8
         point.
9
    THE COURT: No, not if you're opposed.
10
    THE ACCUSED: The 486.2 and the 486.1 I have no
11
         opposition to.
12
    THE COURT: All right. Maybe, Mr. Myhre, you can help
13
         me because my Criminal Code did not make it to the
14
         courtroom with me. So I just want to be clear
15
         that Mr. Fox is not opposed to orders under 486.1
16
         or 486.2 of the Criminal Code. So if you --
17
    MR. MYHRE:
               486.1 is the application for a support
18
         person.
19
    THE COURT: Yes.
20
    MR. MYHRE: 486.2 is the testimony from behind a
21
         screen. So given Mr. Fox's position, can I hand
22
         up to Your Ladyship a draft order for those two
23
         subsections?
24
    THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Fox, you are not
25
         opposed to an order that the complainant testify
26
         with a support person nearby and that she testify
27
         from behind a screen; that you will be able to see
28
         her, she will not be able to see you. Do you
29
         understand that?
30
    THE ACCUSED: Yes, I do, and that's fine.
31
    THE COURT: And you consent to those orders today; is
32
         that correct?
33
    THE ACCUSED: I do.
34
    THE COURT: All right.
                            Thank you, Mr. Myhre, you can
35
         hand those up and we will dispense with Mr. -- the
36
         necessity of Mr. Fox's signature on those orders
37
         given that we've done it on the record with his
38
         consent.
39
    MR. MYHRE: Thank you, My Lady, and I've got two copies
40
         here, one that could be kept in the court file,
41
         and if I could keep one myself and I can get Mr.
42
         Fox a copy.
43
    THE COURT: Thank you.
44
    MR. MYHRE: So you can see, My Lady, it's a standard
45
         form where I've just checked off --
46
    THE COURT: Yes.
```

```
486.1, and what I'm going to do, just for
1
    THE COURT:
2
         the completeness of the record, is I'm going to
3
         cross out the ones that are not checked just so
         the document doesn't look -- it will look
4
5
         cluttered but it won't be confusing. No, it's too
6
         late for that.
7
              So I am going to give Madam Registrar the one
8
         that I originally signed. And on the other one
         I'm just going to write "copy" at the top.
9
10
    MR. MYHRE: Actually I believe this one should stay in
11
         the court file.
12
    THE COURT: The originally signed one, I think you need
13
         to file that in -- with scheduling.
14
    MR. MYHRE: Okay.
15
    THE COURT: Yes, and the copy remains on the court file
16
         so the record will reflect that I have granted
17
         those two orders. So then that significantly
18
         decreases -- perhaps significantly decreases the
19
         amount of time that's going to be required for the
20
         Crown's application for a lawyer to conduct the
21
         cross-examination. Is that fair to say, Mr.
22
         Myhre?
23
    MR. MYHRE: Yes, and My Lady, I would anticipate a
24
         morning for the Crown's application under s. 486.3
25
         for the counsel to cross-examine.
26
              So the next potential application: My Lady,
27
         last day in front of Judge Silverman, Judge
28
         Silverman canvassed the voluntariness of a
29
         statement the Crown intends to lead, a statement
30
         Mr. Fox made to Constable Potts of the Burnaby
31
         RCMP. And after a fair bit of back and forth with
32
         -- with Judge Silverman confirming with Mr. Fox
33
         that he understood what voluntariness meant, Mr.
34
         Fox agreed on the record that he admitted that
35
         that statement was voluntary.
36
              In a letter to the Crown, one of the three
37
         letters I referenced that he's sent me since that
38
         day, he has indicated that he wants to cross-
39
         examine a police officer in relation to the
40
         lawfulness of his arrest.
41
    THE COURT: All right. So Mr. Fox --
42
    MR. MYHRE: By the Burnaby RCMP after he was handed
43
         over by U.S. Authorities, essentially.
44
    THE COURT: Mm-hmm.
45
    MR. MYHRE: Do I have that right, Mr. Fox?
46
    THE ACCUSED: No, no.
47
    THE COURT: Okay.
```

```
1
    THE ACCUSED: I don't think that there's any question
2
         about the lawfulness of the arrest once I was
3
         returned to Canada. The questions that I have,
4
         though, relate to under what authority I was
5
         returned to Canada, like for -- for what reason.
         The RCMP was not requesting that Homeland Security
7
         return me here. I'm not sure that those
         questions, though, directly relate to this matter.
8
9
    THE COURT: What is your citizenship, Mr. Fox?
10
    THE ACCUSED: I am a U.S. citizen. I was born in the
11
         U.S. but the Canadian government insists that I am
12
         a Canadian citizen.
13
    THE COURT: What passport do you hold?
14
    THE ACCUSED: I don't any.
15
    THE COURT: Have any. Okay. And your birth
16
         certificate is from the United States?
17
    THE ACCUSED: Yes. I have a U.S. birth certificate but
18
         the U.S. government some years ago accused me of
19
         being an illegal alien from Canada and they
20
         accused me of being a person from Ontario. They
21
         then told the Canadian government that I am that
22
         person, so the Canadian government insisted I'm
23
         that person and that's how I end up here.
24
    THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Myhre, it seems that
25
         Mr. Fox is not challenging the lawfulness of his
26
         custodial status in Canada and I think matters
27
         extraneous to this court's jurisdiction, such as
28
         United States, might be outside the jurisdiction
29
         of this court for the purposes of indictment. But
30
         I don't know why he was returned to Canada.
31
              So is there a particular officer from a
32
         Canadian police force that you want to cross-
33
         examine?
34
    THE ACCUSED: I would need to check my notes.
35
    THE COURT: All right, go ahead and check your notes.
36
    THE ACCUSED: I don't remember exactly.
    MR. MYHRE: Mr. Fox, I might suggest that it was
37
38
         Constable Denise Cam [phonetic] who, according to
39
         the Report to Crown Counsel, said that she formed
40
         the grounds to have you arrested and arranged for
41
         that to happen at the border.
42
    THE ACCUSED: Yes, I believe you're correct, but the
43
         reason that I wanted to cross-examine Constable
44
         Cam was because of some of these statements that
45
         she had put one of her affidavits, particularly
46
         for the seizure of the firearms.
47
    MR. MYHRE: So Mr. Fox in the letter also raised issues
```

```
1
         with the police search of his house. Now, well,
2
         before Mr. Fox was brought back to Canada by U.S.
3
         authorities, U.S. authorities had some
4
         communication with Canadian authorities, who then
5
         got a search warrant and searched his house,
6
         looking for firearms. They didn't find anything,
7
         there's nothing from that search that the Crown
8
         would be reading or any circumstances related to
9
         it that the Crown would be leading at trial.
10
              So I think Mr. Fox would maybe have some
11
         questions for those officers but it doesn't seem
12
         to me that that -- those questions would relate to
13
         any potential evidence or issue at the trial.
14
    THE COURT: Mm-hm.
                         So, Mr. Fox, just to decode what
15
         Mr. Myhre is saying, you are best not to wander
16
         off the four corners of the indictment and start
17
         taking issue with criminal suspicions or
18
         investigations that are not before the court in
19
         relation to the harassment or the other count
20
         before the court, and the reason for that is
21
         juries are repeatedly told to listen to the
22
         evidence, disregard bad character evidence.
23
         if you start asking officers about things that
24
         aren't really related to the indictment the jury
25
         is going to wonder a little bit about things that
26
         they probably shouldn't be wondering about, that
27
         being, to put it bluntly, whether or -- whether or
28
         not you are of bad character.
29
    THE ACCUSED: With all due respect, I think Mr. Myhre's
30
         -- is that how you pronounce it, Myhre? Mr. Myhre
31
         may have been misinterpreting some of what I was
32
         stating in the letter.
33
    THE COURT: All right.
34
    THE ACCUSED: Because -- yes.
35
    THE COURT: Okay.
36
    THE ACCUSED: Sorry, nothing more to say on that.
37
    THE COURT: No, so here's what I'm going to suggest is
38
         we are going to have to concern ourselves with
39
         setting a date for the application the Crown is
40
         going to make under 486.3 for the appointment of a
41
         lawyer. That's going to be some time not too long
42
         after the 18th of April. And I'm going to suggest
43
         between now and that date you think carefully
44
         about what is on the indictment and what the jury
45
         will hear about the indictment and the matter that
46
         you raised in the letter to Mr. Myhre.
47
              Because juries are brought from the
```

Proceedings

BAN ON PUBLICATION 486.5(1) CCC

community, they are regular working people and when we choose a jury we tell them, "Look, this trial is scheduled to last for 10 days." We always tell them sometimes things go shorter, generally they'll go longer. And you have to concern yourself with obviously putting the best defence forward, making sure the Crown proves its case, but at the same time it will be the role of the trial judge to minimize the times that the jury has to be sent out of the courtroom to deal with issues that shouldn't or may not be properly before them and that might include what you've got some concerns about in terms of the -- the other firearms suspicions.

So we've got 12 normal people, we take them away from their jobs and we tell them this trial will last 10 days. But if it becomes prolonged then it can cause difficulties with people -- people tell their employers they'll be back in 10 days and then if they're not they are perhaps under some pressure to get back to work or get back to their families and so on.

So if the goal is to have this trial done fully and fairly in 10 days, I think you really need to consider how much beyond the indictment you need to go to properly defend yourself.

So you're certainly free to put matters to witnesses, police witnesses included, that may impact on their credibility. But if the Crown is not going to be relying on that particular constable's affidavit you might want to wonder, well, is that going to be something that's going to help my case in front of a jury or hurt it. So I'm not telling you not to make the application, I'm just telling you to look at the big picture, focus on the indictment and what the Crown has to prove and what you -- what you need to do to defend yourself. Okay?

THE ACCUSED: Thank you, I understand. I do now for the record wish to express some concern about the amount of time set aside for the trial and we discussed this somewhat at the previous hearing.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE ACCUSED: I do intend to cross-examine some of the witnesses such as Ms. Capuano quite extensively.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE ACCUSED: And personally I don't think that the

```
1
         trial is going to be able to be completed within
2
         the two weeks that's currently allotted.
 3
    THE COURT: All right. So that's a -- that's a good
         concern to put on the table at this point. Mr.
4
5
         Myhre, sort of generally, I mean Crown usually
6
         makes the estimate in consultation with defence
7
                   There's no counsel in this case. Just
         counsel.
         roughly how did you arrive at the 10 days and was
8
9
         that something Mr. Justice Silverman queried you
10
         about?
11
    MR. MYHRE: Wat happened last day, My Lady, is that we
12
         stood down and I had a discussion with Mr. Fox and
13
         we went through each witness estimating how much
14
         time each would take, and basically we've set
15
         aside an entire week for Ms. Capuano.
16
    THE COURT: Okay. Direct and cross-examination?
17
    MR. MYHRE: Yes.
18
    THE COURT: All right.
19
    MR. MYHRE: I think I'll be one day with her in direct.
20
         Mr. Fox could potentially be two or three days
21
         with her in cross.
22
    THE COURT: Okay.
23
    MR. MYHRE: And then the rest of the witnesses, the
24
         potential for Mr. Fox to call evidence.
25
    THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fox, you don't have to tell
26
         me if you're calling evidence, but if you are, is
27
         that one of the concerns that you think puts this
28
         beyond a 10-day trial?
29
    THE ACCUSED: Yes. Yes, I do intend to call some
30
         witnesses that I haven't disclosed and probably
31
         won't --
32
    THE COURT: Okay.
33
    THE ACCUSED: -- prior to that. I've also, though,
34
         since decided that I'm going to testify myself as
35
         well and that will probably take two or three days
36
         at least.
37
    MR. MYHRE: And, My Lady, there are a couple of other
38
         applications we should discuss, but since we're on
39
         the topic of time estimates, in his letters Mr.
40
         Fox asked the Crown to arrange for a number of
41
         witnesses to be present at the trial.
42
              So I've reflected on the list of witnesses
43
         that he's asked for and I can advise the court and
44
         Mr. Fox that I am willing to call James Pendleton
45
         to the stand. My understand -- Mr. Pendleton is
46
         Ms. Capuano's partner, they both live in the
47
         United States. My understanding is that he is
```

Proceedings

BAN ON PUBLICATION 486.5(1) CCC

travelling to Canada and so my intention will be to serve him with a subpoena when he arrives in Canada. I will call him as part of the Crown case; I have very few questions for him but I understand Mr. Fox would have some questions for him.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MYHRE: Now, Mr. Fox has also asked for a police officer who declined to revoke his possession and acquisition licence. He has asked for the lead investigator to testify. He has asked for two reporters from CBC to testify. These reporters took statements or did interviews of Mr. Fox and Ms. Capuano and he, as I understand it, would like to tender both of those statements and ask them about their respective demeanours and their opinion as to the truth-telling of the people they interviewed.

Certainly I won't be subpoenaing the CBC reporters. Similarly this police officer -THE COURT: Well, just -- we'll stop there. I don't know if this is something that was discussed before Mr. Justice Silverman, Mr. Fox, but the court will not hear from witnesses who have opinions about the truthfulness or otherwise of any witness or of you. The ultimate arbiter of credibility and truthfulness is going to be the jury and it will add nothing to the trial process for you to call witnesses who say what they think about your truthfulness or otherwise.

You can call good character witnesses who -- who can testify as to your reputation in the community, but calling witnesses who are going to testify favourably about your credibility or otherwise is not a permissible use of court time, it's not a permissible route to take on the basis of the Rules of Evidence. So I encourage you to have a look through the document I gave you today, it's going to give you some -- some idea about some of the rules of evidence about challenging credibility. But this is not going to turn into a trial of you calling witnesses who will comment on the credibility or truthfulness of you or the complainant.

THE ACCUSED: Thank you. I understand that. My intention with the journalists was partially to authenticate the video and also to possibly answer

Proceedings

BAN ON PUBLICATION 486.5(1) CCC

some questions about decisions with respect to having her coaching Ms. Capuano for the interview etc. and why they may have decided not to include certain statements by her. Not so much to give their opinion on her character.

THE COURT: Well, if you -- if you seek to call those witnesses as part of your case and you are successful with the trial judge allowing you to call them for those limited purposes, the Crown may well be entitled to recall the complainant to testify about the coaching or other -- otherwise, as you allege.

There's a rule of evidence, lawyers call it the rule in *Browne v. Dunn* and if you don't confront a witness on cross-examination with a contrary version of events or a contradictory version of events, and then you tender evidence that contradicts them on those points, the prosecution is entitled to recall parts of its case to essentially in fairness to the witness to demonstrate why that might not be so.

So you can't simply cross-examine the complainant on a -- on a few areas and then, you know, it's like sandbagging in poker, if you're familiar with that term, you can't keep your chips in your pocket when you're cross-examining, you've got to put your chips on the table and if you don't do that then the Crown can fill in the patches in re-examination and recalling witnesses.

So just bear that in mind as you're calling your case and as you are cross-examining, particularly if there's something you want to put to witnesses on cross-examination, do it, because if you don't you may run into difficulties in your case; you think you've called evidence to contradict them and then the Crown can make an application to come back and bring the witnesses back to what we call rehabilitate them, and that is to have them address a matter that they weren't confronted with in the first place.

It's a little convoluted. The rule in *Browne v. Dunn* is referred to in the materials I gave to you. I encourage you to study that very carefully when you consider both your cross-examination and -- and any witnesses that you might call and the subject matter of their evidence. All right?

THE ACCUSED: Yes.

```
1
    THE COURT: Okay.
2
    MR. MYHRE:
                So that brings us to another potential
3
         application, My Lady. So Mr. Fox clearly would
4
         like Ms. Capuano's interview that she did with the
5
         Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. Now, the Crown
6
         is actually trying to get Mr. Fox's interview, and
7
         that's the subject of an ongoing dispute between
8
         the RCMP and CBC, they've been fighting over it.
9
    THE COURT: Mm-hmm.
10
    MR. MYHRE: And I don't know if it will be settled by
11
         the time of trial or not. Because that is already
         underway I did -- when I got Mr. Fox's letter it
12
13
         seemed to me that he was -- would clearly like to
14
         be in possession of Ms. Capuano's interview with
15
         CBC and so I asked the RCMP if they could add that
16
         to the production order, but things are already
17
         underway and my understanding is that they are not
18
         willing to do that, and that's their prerogative
19
         as the investigative agency.
20
    THE COURT: Right.
21
                Which means that if Mr. Fox really does
    MR. MYHRE:
22
         want that interview he's going to have to make an
23
         application for it that would involve the CBC.
24
    THE COURT: Yes. So, Mr. Fox, just so you understand,
25
         the police are trying to get by way of a
26
         production order which is kind of like a mini
27
         search warrant in the Criminal Code documents that
28
         they want -- or the interview that you want, it's
29
         too late, in the vernacular, piggyback that on the
30
         application. So you're going to be on your own
31
         with that, with that kind of an application.
32
    THE ACCUSED: I already actually have both of those
33
         interviews, the full interview footage.
    THE COURT: Okay.
34
35
    THE ACCUSED:
                  So I don't require it through the court.
36
    THE COURT: So you don't require a court order, okay.
37
         All right. And in terms of the use of Ms.
38
         Capuano's interview, again that is or may be fair
39
         game to cross-examine her on.
40
    THE ACCUSED: Ouite.
41
    THE COURT: Okay, you understand that?
42
    THE ACCUSED: Yes.
43
    MR. MYHRE:
                The next potential application relates to
44
         the Crown's application to have two ATF agents
45
         testify from California, and I understand my
46
         friend -- I should say Mr. Fox is, is opposed to
47
         that.
```

```
THE ACCUSED: No, I don't oppose that.
1
    MR. MYHRE: You are not opposed to them testifying from
3
         California. Then at the next date I will formally
4
         make that application and seek an order under s.
5
         714.2 allow them to do that.
6
    THE COURT: Good.
7
    MR. MYHRE: The -- Mr. Fox has requested -- he
8
         requested disclosure of a number of investigative
9
         files that related to him and Ms. Capuano from
10
         2015 in a -- in a letter to me in -- at the end of
11
         February, and I sent him some disclosure just very
12
         recently, maybe -- did you get it already?
13
    THE ACCUSED: I did receive it.
14
    MR. MYHRE: I noticed that there are some appendices
15
         missing, so I've asked the police to follow up and
16
         get me those things. But one of the things that
17
         was disclosed in there was another statement that
18
         Mr. Fox made in 2015 and so I want to advise Mr.
19
         Fox that I also intend to lead that statement at
20
         the trial. That's your statement to Constable
21
         Huggins. And so there's a potential Crown
22
         application to prove the voluntariness of that
23
         statement.
24
    THE ACCUSED: I believe that statement was already
25
         provided in previous disclosure.
26
    MR. MYHRE: In any event, it was only recently that I
27
         decided that I would like to lead that at the
28
         trial.
29
    THE COURT: All right. So there's -- there's one
30
         statement that Mr. Myhre has discussed with you,
31
         Mr. Fox.
32
    THE ACCUSED: Yes.
33
    THE COURT: And it appears that you have agreed that
34
         it's voluntary. You have to put your same thought
35
         processes on the 2015 statement, which I
36
         understand is a different one from the one that
37
         the Crown originally asked you to consider the
38
         voluntariness issue. So that should go on the
39
         list of things for you to -- to consider whether
40
         or not you want to admit voluntariness or if you
41
         want the Crown to -- to prove it on a voir dire.
42
    THE ACCUSED: Okay.
43
   MR. MYHRE: At the last appearance Mr. Fox indicated
44
         that he was willing to admit that the handguns
45
         that he registered and that the Crown says that he
46
         shipped to California were restricted firearms as
47
         that term is defined in the Code and used in s.
```

```
1
         93, the section under which he's charged. And I
2
         sent Mr. Fox a draft admission about a month ago.
3
         I wonder if Mr. Fox had a chance to consider it.
    THE ACCUSED: Yes, I reviewed it and everything seems
4
5
         fine to me.
6
    MR. MYHRE: Okay, that being the case, I do have a copy
7
         that could be signed and filed with the court
8
         today.
9
    THE COURT: All right, just let Mr. Fox have a look at
10
         it. I'm sure it's exactly the same as the one you
11
         sent him, but we just want to make sure, since
12
         we're doing it on the record, that it is indeed
13
         the one that he has reviewed. And if it is the
14
         one that's consented to, then that admission can
15
         be signed and the Crown can deal with it as an
16
         exhibit or read in in the usual course.
17
    THE ACCUSED: Shall I sign?
18
    MR. MYHRE: If you are content to admit that.
19
    THE ACCUSED: Nos obviously I haven't memorized all the
20
         serious numbers of the pistols but I assume they
21
         are correct.
22
    THE COURT: All right, thank you, Mr. Fox.
23
    MR. MYHRE: The last admission, as I reflected on the
24
         case, that the Crown would be seeking from Mr.
25
         Fox --
26
    THE COURT: Stop there, Mr. Myhre. I am not required
27
         to sign an admission of fact, so Mr. Fox has
28
         signed it and you have signed it, and what I'm
29
         going to suggest is that it be -- well, it's
30
         theoretically part of the Crown's case.
31
    MR. MYHRE: Yes.
32
    THE COURT: So I would suggest you hang onto it and
33
         tender the original as an exhibit at the outset of
         the trial and have copies made for yourself and
34
35
         Mr. Fox to have, but that admission has been made,
36
         so you can hang onto that, Mr. Myhre.
37
    MR. MYHRE: Very well, thank you.
38
    THE COURT: All right.
39
    MR. MYHRE: I will be asking Mr. Fox to admit his
40
         United States criminal record, but I -- if you
41
         know today that you're not willing to admit that
42
         you could say so. If you are, I can draft
43
         something.
44
    THE COURT: Just before you answer, Mr. Fox. Mr.
45
         Myhre, where in the Crown's case is Mr. Fox's
46
         criminal record relevant?
47
    MR. MYHRE: That would be put to Mr. Fox if he
```

```
1
         testifies.
2
    THE COURT: If he testifies. All right, so Mr. Fox,
3
         the rule is the Crown leads its case focused on
4
         the counts in the indictment. They don't lead
5
         evidence of a criminal record or bad character
6
         except for very limited purposes. So the only
7
         reason Mr. Myhre wants to know if you admit your
         U.S. criminal record, which I assume he has
8
9
         furnished you with, is that when you testify it's
10
         fair for the Crown to put to you prior convictions
11
         that are admitted. So it won't form part of the
12
         Crown's case, but if you testify then you need to
13
         know that that can be put to you.
14
              There's one concern I have, and that is, Mr.
15
         Myhre, with respect to two cases, Underwood and
16
         Madrusan, and that is concerning the subject
17
         matter and the extent of the accused's criminal
18
         record when it's a jury trial.
19
              So just shorthand, Mr. Fox, we're saying the
20
         jury can hear that you've got a criminal record
21
         but if it's a massively long criminal record with
22
         lots and lots of really bad things on it, you can
23
         make an application to have it -- sanitized is the
24
         wrong word, but there can be an application to
25
         have it summarized for the jury so that they know
26
         you've got a record for particular things but they
27
         don't need to see a great long list of a rap
28
         sheet, essentially.
29
    THE ACCUSED: Right.
30
    THE COURT: All right, so that's something that -- that
31
         ball is in your court if you want to make that
32
         application before you testify to know precisely
33
         what criminal record the Crown is going to be
34
         putting to you and what the jury will know about.
35
              So, Mr. Myhre --
36
    MR. MYHRE: I can advise just for Mr. Fox's benefit
37
         that if Mr. Fox testifies the conviction that the
38
         Crown is interested in putting in front of the
39
         jury is the conviction for perjury.
40
    THE COURT: All right.
41
    MR. MYHRE: One conviction for perjury.
42
    THE COURT: All right. So just for your edification,
43
         Mr. Fox, a conviction for perjury, fraud, theft,
44
         those kinds of offences, those are generally very
45
         relevant for a jury to consider when assessing the
46
         credibility of a witness. So you are free to
47
         apply to have your criminal record sanitized, but
```

47

Proceedings

BAN ON PUBLICATION 486.5(1) CCC

```
1
         I would have to say that a perjury conviction is
2
         extremely relevant in the eyes of somebody
3
         assessing your testimony.
4
    THE ACCUSED: Mm-hmm.
5
    THE COURT: So not -- I am not the trial judge, not
         making a ruling, I'm just giving you a heads up in
6
7
         that regard.
    THE ACCUSED: If the perjury conviction were to be
8
9
         brought up, would I be able to provide explanation
10
         or background on that conviction, for example
11
         [indiscernible/overlapping speakers].
12
    THE COURT: Don't tell me anything about the background
13
         or anything.
14
    THE ACCUSED: You see, I would think it would serve my
15
         purposes, my interests very well if a perjury
16
         conviction and false claim of U.S. citizenship
17
         conviction were brought up at the trial, as long
18
         as I would then be able to provide some
19
         explanation about those convictions. Of course
20
         I'm sure -- I'm sure you see where I'm going with
21
         that.
22
    THE COURT: Well, to a certain extent an explanation is
23
         permitted. If you -- if the explanation is by way
24
         of a denial there is case law from the Supreme
25
         Court of Canada that a conviction is a conviction
26
         unless it's challenged or set aside, so the fact
27
         of the conviction is fair for the jury to hear.
28
         Your explanation may, in the trial judge's ruling,
29
         the trial judge may wish to ensure that your
30
         explanation is brief and succinct, i.e. that it
31
         doesn't go on for several days because sometimes
32
         what happens is you get into a trial of a side
33
         issue and again you will have 12 people who won't
34
         be able to hear a lot of that stuff if the trial
35
         judge has to rule on it.
36
              So, but Mr. Myhre is on notice that if the
37
         record is put to you in its current form you will
38
         be seeking to furnish an explanation. So we'll
39
         just leave it at that.
40
    MR. MYHRE: And again for Mr. Fox's benefit, I'm not
41
         asking for an answer today, just something for you
42
         to consider ahead of the next date.
43
    THE ACCUSED: I can give you an answer today. I have
44
         no opposition to the perjury and false claim of
45
         U.S. citizenship convictions being brought up at
46
         the trial.
```

THE COURT: So to put it in technical terms, you admit

```
1
         you've been convicted of perjury and what was the
2
         second offence?
3
    THE ACCUSED: False claim of U.S. citizenship.
4
    THE COURT: False claim of U.S. citizenship. So are
5
         there other matters on the record that you might
6
         seek to put to Mr. Fox, Mr. Myhre?
7
    MR. MYHRE: No, Your Honour -- My Lady.
8
    THE COURT: All right, so -- all right, so I think the
9
         Crown then can treat the perjury and false claim
10
         of U.S. citizenship convictions as admitted and
11
         Mr. Fox has put the Crown on notice that he will
12
         want to furnish an explanation for them. So that
13
         is something that the trial judge will have to
14
         balance in the mix and decide how much, if
15
         anything, the jury will hear of the explanation.
16
         And again, Mr. Fox, I am not making rulings, I'm
17
         just giving you a heads up of what will happen at
18
         trial, or what will likely happen at trial. All
19
         right?
20
              Is that sort of the end of what we can deal
21
         with today, Mr. Myhre? Before I get to you, Mr.
22
         Fox, don't worry.
23
    MR. MYHRE: Yes, from my perspective the only thing
24
         would be to reschedule the application date.
25
    THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fox.
26
    THE ACCUSED: My biggest issue at this point is with
27
         the jail making it impossible for me to prepare
28
         any kind of defence whatsoever. I've been dealing
29
         with this issue with them for the past few months
30
         and I have documentation to support it all, but
31
         essentially they're prohibiting me from receiving
32
         any DVDs or CDs in the mail which would contain
33
         video which would be evidence to support my case.
34
              And for that reason if I can't obtain those
35
         videos I can't present them to the court or to the
36
         jury. I'm not sure how we can proceed on that,
37
         there doesn't seem to be a lot of case law in
38
         Canada.
39
    THE COURT: Not so much, no.
40
    THE ACCUSED: It goes with self-represented parties in
41
         custody.
42
    THE COURT: Mm-hmm.
43
    THE ACCUSED: There was R. v. Ryan that I read,
44
         addresses some of the issues.
45
    THE COURT: Okay. I think I'll have a suggestion about
46
         how to deal with that. So, Mr. Myhre, the April
47
         18th application has to be reset. So what I'm
```

```
1
         going to suggest is that on that date it's treated
2
         both as an application by the Crown to appoint
3
         counsel to cross-examine the complainant and it
4
         will be open to Mr. Fox to make application on
5
         that day for some sort of change or exception to
6
         the conditions of his custodial status.
7
              So, Mr. Fox, you're right, with self-
8
         represented individuals it's difficult to receive
9
         things. There's -- there's reasons for that, the
         warden has reasons, there's security and so on and
10
11
         so forth.
12
    THE ACCUSED: Right.
13
    THE COURT: But there may well be a way to manage that.
14
         It's not a way I can do today, but it's something
15
         that should be considered on the next date. There
16
         may well be simply an order that between certain
17
         hours of the day Mr. Fox has access to a computer
18
         or something to play a video, so I throw that out
19
         there.
20
    THE ACCUSED: Well, you see, I do have a computer to
21
         use for E-disclosure.
22
    THE COURT: You're just not getting the things in the
23
         mail?
24
    THE ACCUSED: Right, they say I can receive those in
25
         the mail if they come from an attorney.
26
    THE COURT:
               Okay.
27
    THE ACCUSED:
                 But not from any of my witnesses.
28
    THE COURT: Right.
29
    THE ACCUSED: And I have proposed to them some
30
         solutions because I had a similar situation when I
31
         was in Arizona in custody on another case, but
32
         they were more equipped to handle that, I guess.
33
    THE COURT: All right. So what -- what I'll say is
34
         that's an application that you should bring to the
35
         court's attention on the occasion when the Crown's
36
         application is next set. All right.
37
    THE ACCUSED: Another significant issue that I have is
38
         the term psychological harm and psychological
39
         safety. Found lots of references to them in a lot
40
         of case law but no real definition. And I know
41
         that the -- or I believe that the prosecutor's
42
         case depends heavily on this concept of
43
         psychological harm, because obviously there is no
44
         physical harm or threats between Desiree and I,
45
         and I think that that's something that certainly
46
         would be helpful to me and I'm sure the jury would
47
         benefit from having some clear definition of what
```

```
1
         exactly that means.
2
    THE COURT: Well, Mr. Myhre is on notice of that, but I
3
         can tell you that the very nature of harassment
4
         itself is psychological fear, harm, fear of harm,
5
         fear of going out and about on your daily business
6
         without being subjected to, you know, seeing
7
         someone that you perceive to be a threat or a harm
8
         to you. So the Crown is not required to call a
9
         psychologist to say this is psychological harm.
10
         Psychological harm is kind of inherent in -- in
11
         one of the way that harassment can be
12
         accomplished. So I can't recall the name of the
13
         leading authority for Supreme Court of Canada, I
14
         think R. v. McCraw perhaps.
    THE ACCUSED: In McCraw they just make reference to,
15
16
         they say that psychological harm is considered the
17
         equivalent or just as relevant as physical harm.
18
                       That's in the context of uttering
    THE COURT: Right.
19
         threats though.
20
    THE ACCUSED: Right, right.
21
    THE COURT: So I don't mean to cut you off, Mr. Fox,
22
         but my difficulty is this pretrial conference was
23
         set for 15 minutes and we've gone for --
24
    THE ACCUSED: Oh, I'm sorry.
25
    THE COURT: No, it's not -- it's -- it's not anyone's
26
         fault, it's just that things are more complex when
27
         a person is acting for themselves, particularly
28
         with a jury trial.
29
    THE ACCUSED: I understand.
30
    THE COURT: So what I'm going to do is Mr. Myhre is on
31
         notice that you're a bit at sea on that concept
32
         and perhaps he can send you a -- a letter with the
33
         name of a couple of authorities that he might be
34
         relying on, or even there's a criminal jury
35
         instruction manual that has a standard instruction
36
         concerning a variety of criminal offences
37
         including harassment and I'm not requiring Mr.
38
         Myhre to send you the criminal jury instruction in
39
         that regard but if he has the ability to send you
40
         a couple of pages from the electronic version of
41
         CRIMJI explaining criminal harassment it might
42
         help you understand what the jury will have to
43
         grapple with at the end of the day. So we'll just
44
         leave it there for now.
              Was there anything else pressing that you
45
46
         needed to address today?
47
    THE ACCUSED: Just one more clarification.
```

```
1
    THE COURT:
                Okay.
2
    THE ACCUSED: Perhaps you might be able to take care of
3
         this. Proper administration of justice in the
4
         context of 486.3, no real definition of that term.
5
    THE COURT:
                486.3 is a highly discretionary section of
6
         the Criminal Code and the judge hearing the
7
         application has to balance the interests of
8
         justice, including your interests, in a full and
9
         fair cross-examination of the complainant with how
10
         it might look to the jury to see you cross-
11
         examining her, how she might feel being cross-
12
         examined by you.
13
              So it's a complex mixture of factors and I
14
         don't think there's any one case that comes out
15
         with a definition. But certainly in advance of
16
         the Crown's application, I think Mr. Myhre will
17
         probably file a couple of cases for the
18
         edification of the trial judge and hopefully serve
19
         those on you in advance, or at the very least have
20
         them available to you the morning of the
21
         application when you come to Vancouver Law Courts
22
         so you can have a look through them.
23
              I think Mr. Myhre is on notice that you're --
24
         you need a little bit of guidance about the scope
25
         of that concept within the application.
26
    MR. MYHRE:
                I will get cases to Mr. Fox ahead of the
27
         application.
28
    THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, Mr. Myhre.
29
              Well, I think for today's purposes we have
30
         accomplished a few things. We've got a signed
31
         admission and we have orders concerning the
32
         support person and the one-way screen.
33
              Mr. Myhre, I'm going to leave it to you to
34
         set the -- the date for the subsequent Crown
35
         application. I'm just going to say that jury
36
         selection is set for Tuesday, May 30th, and that
37
         might seem like a long time away. It's actually
38
         not that far away and I'm wondering if another
39
         pretrial conference either before someone who is
40
         appointed to hear the trial or before another
41
         judge of this court might be helpful to make sure
42
         that when this goes to the jury the issues between
43
         the Crown and the defence are sufficiently
44
         narrowed that it's going to be manageable.
45
    MR. MYHRE: I agree, My Lady, and so when I set the
46
         date for the Crown's application I will also set
47
         another pretrial date.
```

```
THE COURT: All right.
1
2
    MR. MYHRE: And space them out so that they're at least
3
         a few weeks before that jury selection date.
4
    THE COURT: All right. And I guess my final question
5
         is, Mr. Fox has articulated some realistic
6
         concerns about the 10-day time estimate and I'm --
7
         I'm wondering if we should just bite the bullet
         and bump it up to 15 days at this point, or if we
8
9
         should leave that to the next pretrial conference.
10
              Just for your information, Mr. Fox, juries
11
         are told -- the jury pool is notified about two
12
         weeks in advance of jury selection and they really
         need to know how long they're going to be
13
14
         required, realistically, so if this is not a 10-
15
         day trial, it's a 15-day trial, they need to know
16
         that early and often.
17
              So I think I'll leave that to the two of you
18
         to think about. I'll indicate in my pretrial
19
         report that this trial is quite possibly going to
20
         take longer than 10 days and scheduling may be in
21
         contact with you, Mr. Myhre, to -- sort of for
22
         some further information on that. But I am going
23
         to let them know that likely 10 days is not
24
         enough.
25
              Yes, Madam Registrar?
26
    THE CLERK: So would you like me -- would you like me
27
         just to put the returnable date May 30th and then
28
         Mr. Myhre makes another --
29
    THE COURT: Yes. So the April 18th appearance for
30
         which Mr. Fox would be required is cancelled as a
31
         result of the Passover period. Mr. Myhre will
32
         arrange subsequently for another date for the
33
         Crown's application, and on that same day Mr.
34
         Fox's application for access to video, and also
35
         Mr. Myhre will arrange for Mr. Fox's appearance
36
         through the registry at a subsequent pretrial
37
         conference.
38
              So technically, Mr. Fox, your next appearance
39
         before this court is for jury selection on May
40
         30th. You will be back before then.
41
    THE ACCUSED: Right.
42
    THE COURT: All right?
43
    THE ACCUSED: Do you have a minute? It occurs to me
44
         the -- if I submit the application about problems
45
         with the jail on the same day as the 486.3 that
46
         creates a bit of a problem because some of the
47
         evidence that I want to use at that 486.3 hearing
```

BAN ON PUBLICATION 486.5(1) CCC

is audio and video of Desiree. But if I can get those before that hearing --THE COURT: Mm-hmm. THE ACCUSED: Unless he sends them to you. And you can send them to me. THE COURT: Mr. Fox, I'm going to have to -- I have a family case conference that I've got to hear and I'm sorry to cut you off, just the fact is there is some progress today, but if not a lot more progress is made at the next appearance I think there's got to be some decisions made about jury selection, length of trial, and so on. Thank you very much for your cooperation and thank you, Mr. Myhre, for your cooperation, and we will adjourn to a date to be determined. MR. MYHRE: Thank you, My Lady. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED) Transcriber: G. Agema

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the evidence recorded on a sound recording apparatus, transcribed to the best of my skill and ability.

G. Agema

Court Transcriber